From: McFadyen, Ron
To: Glen Prince

Subject: Discovery Protocol Timelines
Date: Friday, 5 July 2019 9:19:25 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.jpg</u>

image002.jpg image003.jpg image004.jpg image005.png image006.png

Hi Glen,

Have just had a long discussion with \$9(2)(a) re the Archaeological Discovery.

is working in conjunction with the Archaeological Authority and also a set of HPT guidelines in the event of Koiwi discovery. These are being followed.

s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)

the removal and storage of the human remains was being overseen by the Kaiharahi and the Kaitiaki.

In terms of Timelines:

- First remains discovered 4:00pm Wednesday 12th June 2019
- 1986 (0. seq)

Remains have been placed in a secure area for the Archaeologist to carry out their works. ^{59(2)(a)} also pointed out that this is a significant find with ^{59(2)(j)}, ^{59(2)(ba)(ii)}, and has taken time to carefully remove the remains from where they lay.

There is two parts two the investigative works following a find.

- a. As part of the legal condition of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and in line with HPT Guidelines, solution of the consent and solution of the
- b. The second step is a decision for Whanau to make. There can be an Oesteologist examination done of the bones and materials $^{s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)}$) that have been uncovered. This will provide a lot more information s9(2)(g)(i), but as stated earlier this is entirely up to Whanau to make. $^{s9(2)(a)}s9(2)(j)$, s9(2)(ba)(ii) s9(2)(a) $^{s9(2)(j)}$, s9(2)(ba)(ii)

Hope this helps.

Regards



From: Glen Prince <Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz>

Sent: Friday, 5 July 2019 8:40 AM

```
Subject: RE:
Great - thanks Ron
From: s9(2)(a)
Sent: Friday, 5 July 2019 8:29 AM
To: Glen Prince < Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz >;
Subject: s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)
Hi Glen,
Have a call in to $90
                        <sup>3(a)</sup>to ring me. Will keep trying during the morning <mark>s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii</mark>
                                             if I have not heard from her in the next hour (hopefully she
is there).
Cheers
Ron.
From: Glen Prince <Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 5 July 2019 7:20 AM
To: s9(2)(a)
Subject: RE s9(2)(ba)(ii), s9(2)(j)
Thanks <sup>99(2)(a)</sup> – I've heard that too.
Ron, where is $\frac{\text{$9(2)(a)}}{2}$ at with the archaeology process for the koiwi? $\frac{\text{$9(2)(j)}}{2}$, $\frac{\text{$9(2)(j)}}{2}$, $\frac{\text{$9(2)(j)}}{2}$, $\frac{\text{$9(2)(j)}}{2}$.
I've got a call with \frac{s9(2)(a)}{a} and \frac{s9(2)(a)}{a} this afternoon and \frac{s9(2)(g)(i)}{a}
Cheers
Glen
From: s9(2)(a)
Sent: Friday, 5 July 2019 7:15 AM
To: Glen Prince < Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz >
Subject: RE: s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)
Yes, I sensed that. I_{s9(2)(j)}, I_{s9(2)(ba)(ii)}, I_{s9(2)(ba)(ii)}
```

To: s9(2)(a)

From: Glen Prince [mailto:Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz] **Sent:** Friday, 5 July 2019 7:09 a.m. To: s9(2)(a)Subject: RE: **s9(2)(ba)(ii)**, **s9(2)(j)** Yes, thanks ^{89(2)(a} We have a conference call this afternoon. He seems to be unhappy with the archaeology so I'm not sure where that will head. Cheers Glen From: **s9(2)(a) Sent:** Friday, 5 July 2019 7:07 AM **To:** Glen Prince < Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz > Subject: RE s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii) Thanks, Glen. ^{59(2)(a)}came in looking for you yesterday. Did he catch up with you? **From:** Glen Prince [mailto:Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz] **Sent:** Friday, 5 July 2019 7:05 a.m. To: s9(2)(a)Subject: RE: s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii) Kia ora s9(2)(a) I have discussed with ${}^{s9(2)(a)}$ and ${}^{s9(2)(a)}$ s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii) s9(2)(ba)(ii), s9(2)(j) (this was discussed with s^{9(2)(a)} at the time), at no time did anyone from the group put themselves or others at risk from a H&S perspective that might warrant withholding their site induction going forward. Overall, we consider that an appropriate investigation has been carried out by \$9(2)(a) and I'm happy to discuss further.

Glen

Many thanks

Glen Prince BE Civil (Hons), CMEngNZ, CPEng Principal Project Manager Project Delivery Team System Design & Delivery

s9(2)(a)

E glen.prince@nzta.govt.nz / W www.nzta.govt.nz Wellington Office / Level 5, Majestic Centre, 100 Willis Street PO Box 5084, Wellington 6145, New Zealand







Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website: www.nzta.govt.nz

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:

www.nzta.govt.nz

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website: www.nzta.govt.nz

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website: www.nzta.govt.nz

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.