

	Organisation	SH1 Winchester submissions
Note about blocked-out text: Unless submitted by an organisation, we do not publish comments that identify individual submitters.		
1	Individual submitter	(No Comment)
2	Fire & Emergency NZ (FENZ)	The other end of the town needs to drop to 50km h from at least the camping ground.
3	Individual submitter	(No comment)
4	Individual submitter	I believe it should be extended South too. There's a school right there and from what I've experienced, no one slows down to 50 in time.
5	Geraldine School Transport Network	Yes school at both ends: Winchester rural & Waihi. Also the domain camping ground (Fatalities already) you need to consider extending 50km/h further out on both end of SH1. A very very busy road and you MUST consider the camping ground & Winchester Rural School end. Push it further OUT. PLEASE. "Safety never takes a Holiday"
6	Individual submitter	Yes, at the other end of Winchester extending the existing 50km speed limit out to just past the camping ground as this would slow the traffic down more near the primary school and allow caravans safe passage into the camping grounds.
7	Individual submitter	That the main highway is far too slow. Why not build the road so that highway traffic is not slowed down, and off ramp Winchester altogether.
8	Individual submitter	Yes, take the 50 out to just on the other side of North St, then put a 70km sign north of that to slow the traffic before hitting the 50. The south side needs a 70 speed limit around the first entrance into the polo ground to slow traffic come from the South. This is why there is speed through the town, no time to slow up. I travel through this town regularly and believe this is the main problem.
9	Individual submitter	Yes: it would be great to see you also extend the speed restrictions to the South end of the town. Which at present is by the Primary School. If this was extended further South before the camp ground this would make it safer for those turning into the camp ground and also have the traffic at a slower speed coming past the school. Traffic definitely does not slow down to 50 at the signs so moving these further

		back at both ends of the town is a very sensible and safety conscious thing to do. I fully support the moving of the signs at the North end of the town.
10	Individual submitter	The speed sign should be a 70 not a 50 as people find it hard going from 100 to 50. The same should be put at the south end of Winchester too before the camping ground
11	Individual submitter	I believe the speed limit should stay the same as it is. I think people will still speed through the area thinking "it's basically the country anyway, it won't hurt to speed up now". Changing the speed limit won't make a measurable difference in my opinion.
12	Individual submitter	<p>We disagree with the proposed extension of the 50km/h speed limit north of Winchester. We would agree to a 70 or 80km/h short transition zone. There is a more urgent and dangerous situation south of the township. Vehicles travelling south are accelerating from the 100km/h sign to position themselves for overtaking on the passing lane. There were 2 serious accidents March 2018 involving south bound traffic and there have previously been several others. In the short distance between 100km/h sign & the passing lane there is the entrance to the camping ground, 2 entrances to the show grounds, an entrance to the Polo grounds [REDACTED]</p> <p>Problem 1: There is an excessively steep drop to the sides of the road, making it difficult to safely pull off on to the ever. This is especially dangerous for the large vehicles trying to stop but also for all chives turning right. Showgrounds "Special Events" have traffic driving prior to the day of the event when there is no signage in place e.g: Large horse truck are pulling into & off the road while other vehicles are swerving to avoid them.</p> <p>Problem 2: With the passing lane below Winchester only for South bound traffic, North bound traffic becomes frustrated by "no passing" lines and slow vehicles E.G: tractors cannot get off the road to allow passage of other traffic until [REDACTED] It is the only provision for stopping or allowing traffic to pass</p> <p>Problem 3: There is no roadside provision for pedestrians accessing the camping ground or show grounds & the traffic flow is NOT safe for cyclists.</p> <p>We suggest the 100 km/h sign south of Winchester be changed to 70km/h to the showgrounds entrance.</p> <p>Likewise, north bound traffic needs to be slowed before the camping ground.</p> <p>We would appreciate an on site meeting with NZTA staff to explain the situation.</p>

13	Individual submitter	<p>[REDACTED] have seen a large change in traffic volumes and speed in this time and would like to make the following points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic going South starts to speed up when it comes round the slight bend in the road at the end of Rise road long before the open speed sign. 2. Traffic going North only starts to slow down when it gets to the 50km sign in many cases and would only be travelling at 50km by the time it gets to Rise road. 3. This traffic is going past a school and residential properties with children at some times having to cross the road to and from school. 4. Just south of the current speed signs there is the Winchester camping ground with far more traffic movements than in North street we have seen many near misses with traffic entering and leaving this entrance. The camping ground has no signage prior to the entrance to indicate where the entrance is. As this is on open speed limit we believe that it will only be a matter of time before there is a serious incident at this entrance if something is not done about the speed at the South end of Winchester. There is often people walking into town on the sides of the road and are in an open speed limit. 5. We signed a petition on the belief that the South end of Winchester was being taken care of but in your proposal there is no mention of the South end and would not have signed this had we known nothing was being done at the South end. 6. The placement of the current signs do obstruct vision to the South. 7. Exhaust brakes are a big nuisance at night. 8. If the current signs reducing speed to 50 km is not to be moved South then to cover the entrance of the camping ground the speed should be reduced to 60 km to and from the bottom of the camping ground and this way by the time traffic gets to the current 50 km sign it would be going at 50km. 9. Better road signage should be erected at the camping ground. If this was to be done then there would be better opportunity for policing the speed limit in Winchester. We look forward to your reply to our views raised.
14	Dunsandel School	If not already in place include rural - urban threshold treatments in the change
15	Individual submitter	<p>To extend the highway further north is ok but do not forget the south of Winchester. . My reason for this is that the highway north does not cover businesses. To extend the south side would be the right decision and my reasoning is, we have the busy camping ground which would help if the 50k sign was further south, also we have a busy school, two garages and other businesses that would benefit from this. I see the traffic everyday and the 50k sign is ignored by motorists (not all) day and night. This is a huge Hazzard as speed kills. Have you ever heard a car crash, go outside and with all the help provided had to cut the occupant from the car. This is horrific to all and while it does not happen to you it is</p>

		dismissed. Human life is very precious but speed kills and that is a fact. The south side of Winchester with the camping ground also has other agents like the swap meet, motor bike rally, rodeo and on a regular basis horse activities. There have been many forms of accidents when these activities have been operating and speed is the problem. Yes I understand there are people who will and do differ from my thoughts, but are they on the end of an accident trying to help the people trapped or in a burning car, no they have their fast new cars and they feel it is their right to own the road at whatever speed. This has been proven when the speed camera was put in the 80k sign coming into Temuka and the amount dollar wise has been collected. You will always have the road rager and they have to be accountable for their actions. I travel on many roads and when there is a passing lane they pass me at well over 100k as is the limit and I am then the only one on the road. There is more I could provide as evidence that speed cameras really do work but I will continue this survey..
16	Individual submitter	I think you should like at starting the 70km/h zone at Barkers road and then start the 50km/h zone as stated. Also you could look at this for the other (south side) of Winchester past the polo grounds, the Domain and the camp ground. I think a 70km/h zone here would also be helpful because of the volume of human traffic.
17	Individual submitter	I think you are making the right decision.
18	Individual submitter	(No Comment)
19	Individual submitter	(No Comment)
20	Individual submitter	Yes, if this is to happen then we need this to be closely monitored to keep the speed down. At the moment, there is a speed monitor here and is not affecting the speed at all. Therefore we need a speed camera here as southern heading traffic does not slow down until about 200 meters south of the current 50kph sign. Most vehicles are travelling about 8-20KM/H above limit.
21	Individual submitter	This is a great idea but consideration should be given to extending the 50km limit at the south end of town because of the proximity to the camping / showgrounds entrances.
22	Individual submitter	Having seen the speed along this highway, this is a great thing the 50KM sign going to shifted further North. Thanking you
23	Individual submitter	(No Comment)
24	Individual submitter	No
25	Individual submitter	Absolutely agree with this, but I would have liked to see the limit brought down further south as well, as I believe it is too close to the school. I have seen many drivers not slow down till well after the 50k sign.
26	Individual submitter	In signing the petition we were of the understanding that an extension to the 50kph zone at the south end of Winchester was already underway and a priority. North street is very low volume and contains very few residences, however there is a school and camping ground at the south end. Traffic past the

		school seldom travels at the posted speed limit. Shifting the speed change south would decrease this operating speed. Also, the number of vehicles exiting and entering the camping ground on a typical day is many, and during holiday periods is significant. This change in 50kph zone at the south end should be prioritized ahead of any change at the north end. If any change is to occur at the north end, the zone 190 metres long at the north end should be 70kph, not the 50kph proposed.
27	Individual submitter	Moving the southern 50km limit further south, dangerous to pull out of rise road onto highway one especially at peak traffic or school times.
28	Federated Farmers	50m is all thats needed and certainly no more than 100m and then raise the speed through Winchester to 60 or 70kph
29	Individual submitter	The proposed changes will have several benefits for the Winchester community. It will be safer and quieter for residents at the North end of the town as well as making it easier to turn in and our of Harrision Road, a busy intersection for Waihi school families /staff. It may also make it more likely that people will stop at Mia Flora or the fuel station, boosting trade in the town
30	Individual submitter	apologies, I outlines this when I sent online and unable to access survey site twice. A 50km reminder sign approximately where north threshold currently are. A permanent speed monitor for south bound traffic.
31	Individual submitter	NZTA is already well aware of residents/businesses concerns with this. Safety is paramount.
32	Individual submitter	GOOD PLAN BUT South entrance to Winchester has a camping ground and a primary school at the 100km/h sign
33	Individual submitter	Its dumb it should b raised
34	Individual submitter	Extend the south end too, primary school
35	Individual submitter	Speed kills
36	Automobile Association (AA)	Shifting the 50km/h speed limit further north makes sense in this instance to encompass the local Winchester township intersection. As well as moving the speed limit threshold we would also like to see the lanes through here narrowed for the speed limit as it is currently a nice wide, straight road which actually feels like a 70km/h road, not a 50km/h road.
37	Individual submitter	There has already been lots of discussion and signatures given and this needs to be actioned.
38	Individual submitter	The sign in question covers all intersections at the north end of town. I signed the petition but am upset that you have printed this submission rubbish when you you've consulted with community, council, police &AA. Changing the position of the 50km/h sign WILL NOT stop those from speeding through regardless. I also heard it on the radio whilst in Oamaru over 4/5 - 10-19. Wasting money when its all be discussed. I am sorry i put my signature to what seems to be useless piece of paper
39	Individual submitter	No just do it

40	Individual submitter	That decision is fine but here are others. The approach from the south should shift so it starts before the camping ground turn in. Traffic through Winchester mostly travels at faster than 50km/h. During the night it is very rare to hear a truck slow down. I guess the only way to control it would be a speed camera
41	Individual submitter	Plus speed cameras
42	Individual submitter	It should also be extended on the south end where the school is located if the north extension goes ahead
43	Individual submitter	This consultation has been ongoing for a considerable period of time, signatures have been given, discussions had with the authorities involved and the proposed 50 km/h needs to be put into place ASAP
44	Individual submitter	Your proposal neglects the southern end of Winchester town ship, and should have consideration for the Public Domain users, Camping Ground Residence and general safety for motorist in general. (Speed IS the biggest concern, next to the General lay out of the highway)
45	Individual submitter	Hi I wish to submit my agreement for the proposed changed but also ask that you look at extending the 50km zone further south to include more of the primary school potentially down past the a&p show ground.
46	Individual submitter	Extending the speed limit on the north end of Winchester is a good proposal. A permanent or semi permanent speed camera would also be a good idea as traffic often exceed the 50km/h speed limit through the township of Winchester
47	Individual submitter	Traffic flow
48	Individual submitter	It is a waste of money and time, when there are roads in our district that are still gravel. We have pot holes on our highways and side roads that should be corrected first.
49	Individual submitter	There is never any pedestrians or heavy side road traffic that could justify this change at the north side. maybe I would extend south to cover the motorcamp accessway would be prudent. But from my observation of driving this area for 50 years, any change is just pandering to people whom have nothing better to do than to moan about something.
50	Individual submitter	You should also consider lowering the speed past the motor camp, as I have seen some close missess of care turning into the camp as it is also 100km/h. While walking back from [REDACTED], I have used my hands to tell drivers to slow down and all I get is the fingers and [REDACTED]. The only way to slow traffic down is to put a speed camera up, like they have southern of Temuka. [REDACTED]
51	Individual submitter	A permanent digital speed monitor at each end of Winchester 50 Kmh reminder signs on bend near Geraldine turnoff
52	Individual submitter	I disagree with the proposal to extend the 50 km zone. The present situation works.

53	Individual submitter	<p>██████████ seen the build up in traffic over that time. I think you are forgetting the south end of the town. The 50km/h speed limit should also be past the entrance to the camping ground, as there has been a few close calls of people pulling are to the entry of the ground. Also the pedestrian traffic coming from there into town. If there is no police monitoring on SH1, the speed of trucks and cars will go to 80-90KM/H. It is good to see you going to do something north of the town but it wont slow the traffic down.</p>
54	Individual submitter	<p>Yes I agree with the 50KM/H zone being extended. I also would like to see the 50km zone extending south, past the entrance to the Winchester camping ground and show grounds as there are a lot of slow moving caravans and campervans turning into or out of the grounds which causes traffic problems in the 100km zone.</p>
55	Individual submitter	<p>I believe that this would be a positive change for our community and be more "in-line" with other small townships. I fully support the change</p>
56	Individual submitter	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam, you have had no consideration for the southern end as I believe it is more dangerous as the reasons are A Primary school where children and teachers retrieving balls etc, there is a council owned camping ground that has got very popular where I have witnessed near misses exiting and entering into the ground,50km signs erected when there is a function at the reserve (make it permanent),██████████ we are subject to being rear ended because of traffic still exceeding 50 km h, trucks are another nuisance with noise and vibration especially at 3.00am & by the time they have slowed down they are still doing over 70km h past rise rd where traffic exiting rise rd have limited view of traffic coming from the south (traffic going faster reduces the time to pull out onto state hwy one),the southern end has more coming off & onto the highway than the northern end with the camping ground, the reserve, a farm driveway and also a lot more foot traffic, how many of those crashes have happened here?,nearly nineteen months ago a car hit a power pole across from the camping ground and ██████████,this is really a no brainer and should have been rectified a long time ago. I'm all for the northern end but you have totally ignored the southern end .There should be 50 km h signs put up by the first entry to the reserve .</p>
57	Individual submitter	<p>(No Comment)</p>
58	Individual submitter	<p>This is a great decision. Wholeheartedly agree</p>
59	Road Safety South Canterbury	<p>Great idea, the traffic tends to speed up when approaching the signs. I have often been overtaken just before the signs, simply because I do 50kph up to the sign.. Extending the speed limit sounds like a good idea.</p>

60	Individual submitter	This is a classic case of not in my back yard. If everyone had this mentality we would all be doing 50 Km all the way to Christchurch and every residential street would have speed bumps. How did the residents of Winchester ever survive when the speed limit was 80 Km. If [REDACTED] didn't like the way it is [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
61	Individual submitter	Have you considered a intermediate speed zone before the existing one. i e. a 200m section of 70 kmph or 80 kmph before the existing 50 kmph to bring people down more gradually as going from 100 to 50 most people will not be going anywhere near the posted speed limit at the change regardless of how far out of town you put it
62	Individual submitter	(No Comment)